

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/889,079	07/11/2001	Dominique Gerrand	VMF-492-A	6834
7	590 08/06/2003			
Andrew R Basile Young & Basile 3001 West Big Beaver Road Suite 624			' EXAMINER	
			SMITH, JULIE KNECHT	
Troy, MI 48084			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3682	
			DATE MAILED: 08/06/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	09/889,079	GERRAND ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Julie K Smith	3682
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F	PEDLVIS SET TO EXPIRE 3 M	IONTH(S) FROM
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a con. i, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>13 June 2002</u> .	·
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ∑	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice u		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-23</u> is/are pending in the appl		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit	hdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-23</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a Application Papers	and/or election requirement.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 11 July 2001 is/are	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected	to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is: a) □ approved b) □ d	lisapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required	in reply to this Office action.	
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
 Certified copies of the priority docu 	ments have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received in A	pplication No
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office action for 	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor		
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign languag 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	e provisional application has be	een received.
Attachment(s)	and princing and or	55 - E- E- C
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	8) 5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Offi	ce Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 15

Art Unit: 3682

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. Claims 12-15 and 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adams et al. (4,713,568) in view of Mahulikar et al. (5,043,534).

Regarding claim 12, Adam et al. discloses a motor vehicle gear motor comprising a core motor (4) and a reduction gear base (3), the core and base having metal mating flanges with outer peripheries protruding away from the core and base respectively, a joint (4) interposed between the core and the base. Adam et al. is silent as to the composition of the joint. However, Mahulikar et al. teaches a joint (20) including a sealing material and a metal element that contact metal parts of a core and base, the metal element operable to conduct electrical current between the core and the base (see col. 4, lines 26-46).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the gear motor of Adam et al. with the seal of Mahulikar et al. so as to provide a seal between the core and base that serves as a means to align the core and base while providing an electrical contact between the core and base so as to maintain the same electric potential across the core and base.

Although Adam et al. does not disclose the gear motor being used for a wiper application, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use the gear motor for a wiper application as the motor can be used for various functions within an automobile.

Art Unit: 3682

Regarding claims 13-15 and 21, Mahulikar et al. teaches the seal having several fixation orifices (see figs. 4 and 6) adjoining the embedded metal elements, the metal elements being disjointed.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the gear motor of Adam et al. of with the seal of Mahulikar et al. so as to provide a seal with several fixation orifices for a secure connection between the seal and motor.

Regarding claim 20, Adam et al. discloses the core and base having a cylindrical sector (see figs. 3 and 5) the joint having an opening (see fig. 9), able to receive the cylindrical sector, and a stop (42,43) projecting into the opening.

2. Claims 16-18 and 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adams et al. in view of Mahulikar et al. as applied to claims 12-15 and 20-21 above, and further in view of Duhn (3,182,120).

Regarding claims 16-18, Adam et al. discloses a gear motor with definitive fixation means for the joint to the core motor (21,22,23) as claimed, including a wall (2) that externally surrounds the outer periphery of the mating flange of the core, but does not disclose temporary fixation means as claimed by Applicant. However, Duhn teaches a J-shaped temporary clipping lug (29, see fig. 6) on a joint, the lug having a wall extending substantially orthogonally from an edge of the joint and that surrounds the outer periphery of a mating flange when assembled. The temporary clipping lug further comprises an access orifice in order to remove the temporary fixing means.

Art Unit: 3682

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the joint of the reference combination set forth above with a temporary clipping lug so that the joint could be temporarily attached to the gear motor housing and then attached to the base. This method of assembling the motor would reduce the complexity of assembling the apparatus and ensure that the base and housing were properly aligned so as to provide a tight seal between the two portions to save maintenance cost by preventing fluid leakage. It would have further been obvious to provide an access orifice in the lug so as to provide a way to release the lug once the definitive fixing means had been secured.

With respect to claims 22 and 23, it should be noted that the applicant defines the product in terms of a process by which it is made is nothing more than a permissible technique the applicant may use to define the invention since there is no structural difference is required.

Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 12-19 and 21-23 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 4. Regarding claim 20, Applicant argues that the slots cited by the Examiner are merely slot-shaped opening, and not stops. However, the openings (42,43) are used to correctly position the seal within the housing, therefore, can be considered stops. Stop (43) projects into the opening, as claimed.

Art Unit: 3682

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

5,433,038 to Dupuy

6,073,936 to Kirchmann et al.

Page 5

4,831,211 to McPherson et al.

3,620,323 to Maeda et al.

6,047,685 to Schelhas et al.

JP 2002-275408 to Seiko

4,630,888 to Dubar

5,600,419 to Sakuraba et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julie K Smith whose telephone number is 703-305-3948. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8-5:30, (Every other Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bucci can be reached on 703-308-3668. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7687 for regular communications and 703-305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

August 4, 2003

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

8/4/03

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600